This section referred to in construing sec. 52—see notes thereto. Tuck v. Boone,

This section referred to in construing sec. 73—see notes thereto. Lemmon v. Hall, 20 Md. 170.

This section referred to in construing sec. 252—see notes thereto. Macgill v. Hyatt, 80 Md. 257.

See notes to sec. 18

Re. payment of collateral inheritance tax by adm'r. d. b. n., see art. 81, sec. 142.

An. Code, sec. 71. 1904, sec. 70. 1888, sec. 71. 1798, ch. 101, sub-ch. 5, sec. 6. 1820, ch. 174, sec. 3.

In no case shall the executor of an executor be entitled as executor to administration de bonis non of the first deceased.

Cited but not construed in Bowie v. Bowie, 73 Md. 234; Scott v. Fox, 14 Md. 398; Hammond v. Hammond, 2 Bl. 349; West v. Hall, 3 H. & J. 224. See notes to sec. 71.

An. Code, sec. 72. 1904, sec. 71. 1888, sec. 72. 1820, ch. 174, sec. 3.

The court shall, on the application of an administrator de bonis non, order the administrator of a deceased administrator to deliver over to him all the bonds, notes, accounts and evidences of debt which the deceased administrator may have taken, received, or had as administrator at the time of his death, and also to pay over to him the money in his hands as such on or before a certain day; and upon proof of the service of such order and the neglect or refusal of the administrator to comply therewith by the time therein specified, the court may order the bond of the deceased administrator, or of the administrator so refusing, or both of them, to be put in suit by the administrator de bonis non.

The orphans' court has power under this section, on application of administrator d. b. n., to order administrator or executor of deceased administrator or executor to pay over to him the money in his hands as such," and upon a refusal to comply with order, court may order the bond of deceased administrator of both of them to be put in suit. Lawson v. Burgee, 121 Md. 208.

The authority of the court under this section is simply to order money in hands

of deceased administrator to be turned over; no question of interest can be inquired into—see notes to sec. 11. Limitations and laches. Donaldson v. Raborg, 26 Md. 328. And see Donaldson v. Raborg, 28 Md. 53; Biays v. Roberts, 68 Md. 514.

This section impliedly clothes court with power to inquire whether property is ad-

ministered or unadministered. What assets are regarded as administered? This section construed in connection with sec. 11—see notes thereto. Baker v. Bowie, 74 Md. 472. And see Lemmon v. Hall, 20 Md. 171; West v. Chappell, 5 Gill, 229; Gardner v. Simmes, 1 Gill, 428. Cf. Donaldson v. Raborg, 26 Md. 324; Crothers v. Crothers 121 Md. 118.

This section does not vest title to property unadministered in administrator d. b. n., nor does it give him right of possession except upon court's order. Green v. Hart, 57 Md. 237; West v. Chappell, 5 Gill, 229.

An administrator d. b. n. can only proceed for recovery of money in hands of former administrator after obtaining an order of court under this section, and declaration must so allege. Green v. Hart, 57 Md. 236; Johnson v. Farmers' Bank, 11 Md. 414. Cf. Lemmon v. Hall, 20 Md. 171.

This section authorizes making administrators d. b. n. parties defendant, and continuing against them a suit instituted against deceased administrator where such deceased administrator in his representative character had money in his hands belong-

ing to plaintiff. De Valengin v. Duffy, 14 Pet. 282.

To what this section extends. This section referred to in construing sec. 71—see notes thereto. Morrow v. Fidelity Co., 100 Md. 262; Sibley v. Williams, 3 G. & J. 64;

Neale v. Hagthrop, 3 Bl. 563.

Cited but not construed in In re Estate Baxley, 47 Md. 560; Scott v. Fox. 14 Md. 398.

See notes to secs. 11 and 71.